Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,925	BATEMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Johnnie L Smith II	2881
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Johnnie L Smith II</u> .	(3)	
(2) Anthony Janiuk.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 January 2005	Time: <u>1 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible double patenting		
Claims discussed: 1 and 55		
Prior art documents discussed: US patent application 10/734,055		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed possible double patenting issues. Decided that such issues would be overcome by filing of terminal disclaimer		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
I ec. k		
(Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)